INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION SUPPLEMENTAL EDUCATIONAL SERVICES

2006-2007 COMPLIANCE AND ON-SITE MONITORING REPORT

FOR:

Specialty Tutoring

DOCUMENT ANALYSIS	OBSERVATION		COMPLIANCE	
	Lesson matches		Criminal Background	
Tutor Qualifications	original description	Satisfactory	Checks	
			Health/safety laws &	
Recruiting Materials	Instruction is clear	Satisfactory	regulations	
	Time on task is			
Academic Program	appropriate	Satisfactory	Financial viability	
	Instructor is			
	appropriately			
Progress Reporting	knowledgeable	Satisfactory		
	Student/instructor			
	ratio: 2:1 or less	Satisfactory		

ACTION NEEDED: NONE

(As per the on-site monitoring rubric instructions, while monitoring/observation of SES providers is completed annually, document and compliance analysis is completed every two years. Since Specialty Tutoring's document and compliance analysis was completed during the 2005-2006 school year, only an observation was completed for the 2006-2007 school year).

On-site Monitoring Rubric OBSERVATION Components

NAME OF PROVIDER: Specialty Tutoring

DATE: April 11, 2007 **SITE:** Village Elementary School (East Allen Cty. Schools) **REVIEWERS:** MC/ST

TUTOR'S INITIALS (ALL TUTORS OBSERVED): 3 tutors TIME OF OBSERVATION: 2:30-3:20 P.M.

NUMBER OF LESSONS OBSERVED: 6

During the site visit, IDOE personnel will visit several tutoring sessions to observe lessons being provided. IDOE reviewers will be looking to see that actual tutoring matches lesson plan descriptions that are provided in requested documents, as well as those that were provided in the original provider application; that tutors and students are spending an appropriate amount of time on task; that instruction is clear and understandable; and that instructors seem knowledgeable about lesson content.

Each provider will receive a mark of "Satisfactory" (S) or "Unsatisfactory" (U) for each component. Providers receiving a "U" in any component may be required to address deficiencies within 7 calendar days of receiving their final report. Failure to address deficiencies may result in removal from the state approved list.

COMPONENT	S	U	REVIEWER COMMENTS
00.11			Students worked in small groups (2:1 or less) and appeared to be grouped by ability (as described in
			the original application). In one group, students worked on a listening activity and then worked on a
			reading game. Students took turns reading words on cards, while the tutor provided strategies to
			help them improve their reading skills. At the end of the lesson, the tutor gave the students
			homework (as described in the original application). In another group, younger students worked on
			writing various types of letters (uppercase, lowercase) on a whiteboard. The students then shared
			their written letters with each other and provided assistance to one another with the tutor's help.
			Another group worked on phonics flashcards and practiced saying and reading phonics sounds, and
			then recognizing the sounds in pictures and written words. In the last group, students were required
			to describe a picture to the tutor using descriptive words. The teacher used questioning to help the
			students enhance their descriptions and use new vocabulary words to describe the picture. Instructors
Lesson matches original description in			used a multi-sensory approach to engage students. Tutoring matched the description provided in the
provider application	X		original application.
			Instructors offered multiple strategies to help students participate in activities and fully understand
			concepts. Various reading strategies were offered to students. Instructors provided clear guidance
			to help students follow directions and answer questions. Students appeared to know what was
Instruction is clear	X		expected of them. The lessons seemed well-structured and fit the alloted timeframe well.
			Students remained on task and engaged through the duration of the lessons observed. The tutors
			employed various techniques to ensure that students were interested in the lessons, including the use
Time on task is appropriate	X		of games, questioning, and collaborative activities.
			All instructors had lesson plans that were closely followed. Instructors seemed knowledgeable of
			their students' academic levels and interests. Instructors employed a number of strategies and
			instructional techniques to help students understand concepts. When students did not know the
To stand the incommunity of the standard to th	N.		answer or were struggling, tutors did not give students the answer but tried to help them reflect on
Instructor is appropriately knowledgeable	X		prior knowledge to come up with the answer themselves.
	X		Matches the description in the provider's original application.

Student/instructor ratio: 2:1 or less	